Instructor Tools
To develop your items for the evaluation, please **click** on the boxes below that list the operations invloved in creation of evaluation surveys. A quick start guide is available by clicking Help

- Welcome Page
- Edit Question Bank
- Manual Creation and Activation of Evaluations
- Review Activation Schedules
- Set Automation Rules
- Create Evaluations by Automation Rules

Results

- Control Access to Summary Reports
- View Responses and Summary Reports
- Discipline Summary Reports
- Download Response Data

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Evaluation Report for James L Brown teaching MTHSC 435 001 during Fall Semester 2012
Respondents = 4 Enrolled = 11 Response rate = 36%

University Questions (3686)

G1. The instructor clearly communicated what I was expected to learn.
Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.250	1.500	5	4
Same Level	4.236	0.950	5	148
Same Discipline	4.177	1.015	4	3466

G2. The instructor made the relevance of the course material clear.
Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.500	1.000	5	4
Same Level	4.158	1.100	5	146
Same Discipline	4.052	1.074	4	3460

G3. The course was well organized.
Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.500	1.000	5	4
Same Level	4.401	0.873	5	147
Same Discipline	4.201	1.051	5	3465

G4. There was a positive interaction between the class and the instructor.
Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.750	0.500	5	4
Same Level	4.230	1.057	5	148
Same Discipline	4.172	1.073	5	3454

G5. The instructor's teaching methods helped me understand the course material.
Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.250	1.500	5	4
Same Level	3.836	1.180	4	146

Same Discipline	3.779	1.267	4	3450

G6. The instructor's verbal communication skills helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.500	1.000	5	4
Same Level	3.904	1.128	4	146
Same Discipline	3.742	1.273	4	3456

G7. The instructor clearly explained what was expected on assignments and tests

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.500	1.000	5	4
Same Level	4.245	1.031	5	147
Same Discipline	4.176	1.069	5	3451

G8. The instructor kept me informed about my progress in the course.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.250	1.500	5	4
Same Level	4.295	0.919	5	146
Same Discipline	3.977	1.126	4	3445

G9. The feedback I received on assignments and tests gave me the opportunity to improve my performance.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.000	1.414	4.5	4
Same Level	4.007	1.167	4	147
Same Discipline	3.959	1.129	4	3457

G10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.500	1.000	5	4
Same Level	4.128	1.032	4	148
Same Discipline	4.007	1.166	4	3462

G11. The instructor's grading procedures gave a fair evaluation of my understanding of the material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations

Class	4.000	1.155	4	4
Same Level	3.959	1.093	4	148
Same Discipline	4.113	1.065	4	3446

G12. How much work did you put into this course relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.750	0.500	5	4
Same Level	4.248	0.862	4	145
Same Discipline	4.000	0.956	4	3450

G13. How difficult was this course for you relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.500	1.000	5	4
Same Level	4.197	0.970	5	147
Same Discipline	3.834	1.066	4	3455

G14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.750	0.500	5	4
Same Level	4.571	0.868	5	147
Same Discipline	4.570	0.853	5	3447

G15. Was this course in your major?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G16. Was this course team-taught?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G17. Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom by choosing one response from the scale. In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, email, fax and other means.

Type: Satisfaction

Very Dissatisfied (1)	2	3	Very Satisfied (4)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	3.750	0.500	4	4
Same Level	3.676	0.630	4	148
Same Discipline	3.479	0.730	4	3469

G18. Please comment on the strengths of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student	Comments

#1 - He is good at math.

#2 - The professor is a very smart man and knows what he talks about. He loves teaching students and really enjoys talking about complex analysis.

#3 - Dr. Brown is an excellent teacher. He is extremely smart but is able to teach the material to the level of the class. He is more than willing to help students, provided they work hard on his assignments.

#4 - Professor Brown is a very good lecturer and he assigned helpful homework problems which made learning the material easier. He was also very well organized and a quick grader.

G19. Please comment on the weaknesses of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#1	None
#2	The teacher is extremely tough, especially when it comes to exams and homework. Once he gets going in class, he just keeps going. This is not just his fault, it is also some of the student's fault for not studying outside of class.
#3	I would prefer more tests than just the midterm & final.
#4	There weren't any weaknesses that I could tell.

G20. Please comment on any teaching methods you found particularly helpful, and suggest alternative methods that you feel would improve the course.

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#1	I liked the proofs and how to do math.
#2	There weren't many. He is willing to help if you are willing to help yourself.
#3	Putting the notes for lessons online is a tremendous help.
#4	I think the course was taught as well as possible. The material was very streamlined and easy to go from concept to concept as a student.

G21. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. Yes or no? Why?

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#1	Yes, since he knows his stuff.
#2	Depends. If the student can keep up, yes. If not, no.
#3	Yes, but only if that person wanted to learn the material & is willing to put forth the effort required.
#4	Yes, Professor Brown is an excellent teacher.

Ok