

## Math 581 Problem Set 3 Solutions

1. Prove that complex conjugation is an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof:** First we prove that it is a homomorphism. Define  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi(z) = \bar{z}$ . Note that  $\phi(1) = 1$ . The other properties of a homomorphism follow from properties of complex conjugation proved last term, namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(z + w) &= \overline{z + w} \\ &= \bar{z} + \bar{w} \\ &= \phi(z) + \phi(w)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(zw) &= \overline{zw} \\ &= \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w} \\ &= \phi(z)\phi(w).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. To see  $\phi$  is surjective, let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\phi(\bar{z}) = \bar{\bar{z}} = z$ . The fact that  $\phi$  is injective follows from the fact that  $\bar{z} = 0$  if and only if  $z = 0$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. ■

2. Let  $a, b \in R$  and suppose  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ . What can we conclude about  $a$  and  $b$ ?

Note that since the ideals are equal, we have  $a \in \langle b \rangle$  and  $b \in \langle a \rangle$ , i.e.,  $b|a$  and  $a|b$ . This is equivalent to the statement that there exists  $k, l \in R$  so that  $a = bk$  and  $b = al$ . Substituting, we have  $a = alk$ . Similarly, we have  $b = bkl$ . Thus we have  $a(1_R - lk) = 0_R$  and  $b(1_R - kl) = 0_R$ . Thus, either  $a$  and  $b$  are zero divisors, or  $kl = 1_R$ . If  $kl = 1_R$ , then  $k$  and  $l$  are units and we can say  $a$  and  $b$  differ by a unit.

3. Find all ideals in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that in problem 6 we will show that  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, so we only need to decide which of the principal ideals are equal. Recall that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is relatively prime to 12 then  $\bar{a}$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ . (You should be able to prove this fact!) We also have seen that if an ideal contains a unit, the ideal must be the entire ring. Therefore, the ideals  $\langle \bar{1} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{5} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{7} \rangle$ , and  $\langle \bar{11} \rangle$  are all

equal to  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ . We also have the ideals:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \bar{2} \rangle &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}, \bar{8}, \bar{10}\}, \\ \langle \bar{3} \rangle &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}, \bar{6}, \bar{9}\}, \\ \langle \bar{4} \rangle &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}, \bar{8}\}, \\ \langle \bar{6} \rangle &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{6}\}, \\ \langle \bar{8} \rangle &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}, \bar{8}\} = \langle \bar{4} \rangle, \\ \langle \bar{10} \rangle &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}, \bar{8}, \bar{10}\} = \langle \bar{2} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This is a complete list of the ideals.

4. Prove that the map  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $\phi(x) = x^p$  is a ring homomorphism for  $p$  a prime. Find  $\ker \phi$ .

**Proof:** Note first that  $\phi(\bar{1}) = \bar{1}$ . We also have  $\phi(xy) = (xy)^p = x^p y^p = \phi(x)\phi(y)$  where we have used that  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is commutative. To see that  $\phi$  respects addition, observe that  $\phi(x+y) = (x+y)^p = x^p + y^p = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$  where we have used that  $p$  divides the binomial coefficients. Thus  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. Let  $x \in \ker \phi$ . Then  $x^p = \bar{0}$ . However,  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field, so there are no zero-divisors. Thus it must be that  $x = \bar{0}$ . One should also note that since these are finite sets with the same number of elements, an injective function must also be surjective. Thus,  $\phi$  is actually an isomorphism! ■

5. Use the ring homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  for an appropriate value of  $m$  to prove that the equation  $x^2 - 5y^2 = 2$  has no solution for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is a solution to the equation. Applying  $\phi$  to the equation  $x^2 - 5y^2 = 2$  with  $m = 5$  and using that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism gives the equation  $\phi(x)^2 = \phi(2) = \bar{2}$ . However, it is easy to check that there is no element in  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$  that squares to give  $\bar{2}$ . Thus, there can be no such  $(x, y)$ . ■

6. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be commutative rings, and let  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism.

(a) Give an ideal  $J \subset S$ , define

$$\phi^{-1}(J) = \{r \in R : \phi(r) \in J\} \subset R.$$

Prove that  $\phi^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal.

**Proof:** Note that since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism,  $0_R \in \phi^{-1}(J)$  since  $0_S$  is necessarily in  $J$ . Let  $a, b \in \phi^{-1}(J)$ . Then we have  $\phi(a), \phi(b) \in J$  by definition. Since  $J$  is an ideal,  $\phi(a) + \phi(b) \in J$ . But  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, so  $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) \in J$ . Thus,  $a+b \in \phi^{-1}(J)$ . Let  $r \in R$ . Then since  $J$  is an ideal,  $\phi(r)\phi(a) \in J$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism,  $\phi(ra) = \phi(r)\phi(a) \in J$ . Thus,  $ra \in \phi^{-1}(J)$ . Thus  $\phi^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal. ■

(b) Given an ideal  $I \subset R$ , prove that

$$\phi(I) = \{\phi(r) : r \in I\} \subset S$$

is an ideal if  $\phi$  is surjective.

**Proof:** Note that  $0_S \in \phi(I)$  since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism and  $0_R \in I$  necessarily. Let  $c, d \in \phi(I)$ . By definition there exists  $a, b \in I$  so that  $\phi(a) = c$  and  $\phi(b) = d$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $a + b \in I$ . Thus,  $c + d = \phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(a + b) \in \phi(I)$ . Now let  $s \in S$ . Since  $\phi$  is surjective, there exists an  $r \in R$  so that  $\phi(r) = s$ . Then one has  $cs = \phi(a)\phi(r) = \phi(ar) \in \phi(I)$  since  $ar \in I$  ( $I$  an ideal). Thus we have that  $\phi(I)$  is an ideal.

If  $\phi$  is not surjective this is not necessarily true. For example, consider the map  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  that is the identity, i.e.,  $\phi(n) = n$ . Let  $I = \langle 2 \rangle$ . Then one has  $\phi(I) = I$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . However, in  $\mathbb{Q}$  this is no longer an ideal as  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $2 \in I$  but  $1 \notin I$ . ■

(c) Prove that every ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is principal.

**Proof:** Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . By part (a) we know that  $\phi^{-1}(I)$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\phi^{-1}(I) = \langle n \rangle$ . Let  $a \in I$ . Observe that there exists  $b \in \phi^{-1}(I)$  so that  $\phi(b) = a$ . Since  $b \in \phi^{-1}(I)$  we have  $n|b$ . Thus there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $rn = b$ . Applying  $\phi$  we have  $\phi(r)\phi(n) = a$ . This shows that any element of  $I$  is divisible by  $\phi(n)$ , i.e.,  $I = \langle \phi(n) \rangle$ . One should also observe that this same proof works to show that if  $\psi : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism from a PID to a ring, then  $\psi(R)$  is a PID as well. ■

7. If  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , prove that  $\langle m \rangle \cap \langle n \rangle$  is the ideal  $\langle mn \rangle$ .

**Proof:** Recall that  $\langle a \rangle = \{ax : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Let  $mnx \in \langle mn \rangle$ . Then  $mnx \in \langle m \rangle$  and  $mnx \in \langle n \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\langle mn \rangle \subset \langle m \rangle \cap \langle n \rangle$ . Now let  $a \in \langle m \rangle \cap \langle n \rangle$ ,

i.e.,  $a \in \langle m \rangle$  and  $a \in \langle n \rangle$ . Thus,  $m|a$  and  $n|a$ . As we saw in a previous homework problem, since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , we have  $mn|a$ . Thus,  $a \in \langle mn \rangle$ . Combining this with the above containment gives  $\langle m \rangle \cap \langle n \rangle = \langle mn \rangle$ , as claimed. ■

**8.** Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  be an isomorphism. Prove that:

(a)  $\phi(u)$  is a unit if and only if  $u$  is a unit

**Proof:** Let  $u \in R$  be a unit, i.e., there exists  $t \in R$  so that  $ut = 1_R = tu$ . Applying  $\phi$  we see this is equivalent to the statement that  $\phi(ut) = 1_S = \phi(tu)$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, we obtain  $\phi(u)\phi(t) = 1_S = \phi(t)\phi(u)$ . Thus, if  $u$  is a unit then  $\phi(u)$  is a unit. Now suppose  $\phi(u)$  is a unit, i.e., there exists an  $s \in S$  so that  $\phi(u)s = 1_S = s\phi(u)$ . Here we use that  $\phi$  is surjective to conclude that there exists a  $t \in R$  so that  $\phi(t) = s$ . Thus,  $\phi(ut) = 1_S = \phi(1_R)$ . Now use that  $\phi$  is injective to conclude that  $ut = 1_R$  and similarly for  $tu$ . Thus,  $u$  is a unit. ■

(b)  $\phi(b)$  is a zero-divisor if and only if  $b$  is a zero-divisor

**Proof:** Let  $b \in R$  be a zero-divisor, i.e., there exists an  $a \in R$  with  $a \neq 0_R$  so that  $ab = 0_R = ba$ . As above, we apply  $\phi$  to obtain  $\phi(a)\phi(b) = 0_S = \phi(b)\phi(a)$ . Here it is important to note that since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, it is injective so that  $\phi(a) \neq 0_S$  and so  $\phi(a)$  and  $\phi(b)$  are zero-divisors. Now suppose that  $\phi(b)$  is a zero-divisor, i.e., there exists a  $0 \neq c \in S$  so that  $\phi(b)c = 0_S = c\phi(b)$ . Since  $\phi$  is surjective, there exists  $a \in R$  so that  $\phi(a) = c$ . Thus  $\phi(ab) = \phi(ba) = 0_S$ . Since  $\phi$  is injective we have that  $a \neq 0_R$  and  $ab = 0_R = ba$ . Thus,  $b$  is a zero-divisor. ■

**9.** Using the first isomorphism theorem, prove that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}[\omega]$  where  $\omega$  is a third root of unity.

**Proof:** Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}[\omega] = \{f(\omega) : f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]\}$ . This leads one to define  $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\omega]$  by  $\phi(f(x)) = f(\omega)$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is surjective. To see  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f(x)g(x)) &= f(\omega)g(\omega) \\ &= \phi(f(x))\phi(g(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(f(x) + g(x)) &= f(\omega) + g(\omega) \\ &= \phi(f(x)) + \phi(g(x)).\end{aligned}$$

It is also clear that  $\phi(1) = 1$ . Now we just need to prove that  $\ker \phi = \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ . Since  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ , one sees that  $\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle \subset \ker \phi$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is a PID and  $x^2 + x + 1$  is irreducible (degree 2 polynomial with no rational roots!), we must have  $\ker \phi = \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ . (See Corl 1.3!) Thus, by the 1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism theorem we have that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}[\omega]$ . ■

**10.** Is  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ ? Be sure to justify your answer.

**Proof:** Suppose these two rings are isomorphic. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, we know that  $\phi(1) = (1, 1)$ . Thus,  $\phi(2) = \phi(1 + 1) = \phi(1) + \phi(1) = (1, 1) + (1, 1) = (2, 2)$ . Similarly,  $\phi(3) = \phi(2 + 1) = \phi(2) + \phi(1) = (2, 2) + (1, 1) = (3, 3) = (0, 0)$ . This is a contradiction however as then  $3 \in \ker \phi$ , but  $\phi$  being an isomorphism means that  $\phi$  is injective and has trivial kernel. Thus there can be no such isomorphism. ■

**11.** Let  $p$  be a prime number.

(a) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{p}] \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 - p \rangle$ .

**Proof:** Define  $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{p}]$  by  $\phi(f(x)) = f(\sqrt{p})$ . Now one uses the first isomorphism theorem just as in problem 9. The only difference is concluding that  $x^2 - p$  is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion with  $p$ . ■

(b) Prove that

$$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{p}] \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

**Proof:** Set  $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$ . Define the map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{p}]$  by

$\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = a + b\sqrt{p}$ . Note that  $\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & pd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a+c & p(b+d) \\ b+d & a+c \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= (a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{p} \\ &= (a+b\sqrt{p}) + (c+d\sqrt{p}) \\ &= \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) + \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & pd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & pd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} ac+pbcd & p(ad+bc) \\ ad+bc & ac+pbcd \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= ac+pbcd + (ad+bc)\sqrt{p} \\ &= (a+b\sqrt{p})(c+d\sqrt{p}) \\ &= \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & pd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. Now we just need to show  $\phi$  is surjective and injective. Let  $a + b\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{p}]$ . To see  $\phi$  is surjective, just observe that  $\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = a + b\sqrt{p}$ . To see  $\phi$  is injective, suppose  $\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$ . Then,  $0 = a + b\sqrt{p}$  so  $a = b = 0$  and thus  $\begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  so  $\ker \phi = 0$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. ■