

Hecke-Weil Convexity Theorem:

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \}$$

modular form  
 $f: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic

$$\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f(\gamma z) = f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$$

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z} \quad \text{cusp form gives a sequence}$$

$$\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 1}.$$

We would like to go the other direction, given a sequence

$\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ , when is  $\sum a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$  a modular form?

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - a(p)p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s})^{-1}.$$

$$\Lambda(s, f) = \Gamma(s)(2\pi)^{-s} L(s, f)$$

Theorem (Hecke): Suppose we have a sequence  $\{a(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$

and

- $|a(n)| = O(n^k)$
- $\Lambda(s, f)$  analytic
- bounded in vertical strips

•  $\Lambda(s, f)$  has a functional equation  $s \leftrightarrow k-s$ ,

File  
2-20-10  
PS2

Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 2} a(n) q^n$$

is a modular form.

Clay's Converse Theorem & Siegel Modular Forms:

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Sp_4(\mathbb{R}) = \{ g \in SL_4 \mid t_g \mathcal{J} g = \mathcal{J} \}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \{ z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : t_z = z, \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \}$$

$$Sp_4(\mathbb{R}) \text{ acts on } \mathcal{H}_2 \text{ as } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g \cdot z = (az+b)(cz+d)^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\Gamma_0 = Sp_4(\mathbb{Z})$$

$$f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ holomorphic, } f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z\right) = \det(cz+d)^k f(z).$$

is a Siegel modular form.

$$f(z) = \sum_{T} [t] e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(tz)}$$

where the sum is over  $2 \times 2$  real symmetric matrices that are integral along the diagonal, but can be half-integral off the diagonal

We would like to know when  $\{A(t)\}_t$  gives a Siegel modular form.

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} t_a & \\ \hline a^{-1} & \end{smallmatrix} \right) : a \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}$$

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1_x & x \\ \hline 1_x & \end{smallmatrix} \right) : x \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}), t_x = x \right\}$$

$$(3) \quad J = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ \hline -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

Suppose there is a positive real number  $\alpha$  so that

$$\therefore |A(t)| = O(\det(t)^{\alpha})$$

$$\bullet f(z) = \sum_{t>0} A(t) e^{2\pi i t(z)} \quad \leftarrow \text{This gives transformation under } (2) \text{ above.}$$

$$f(m(a)z) = f(t_a z a)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A(t_a z a) = A(t). \quad \text{Assume our sequence also satisfies this.}$$

We now only need to worry about  $J$ .

Let

$$J = \left\{ w \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) : \det(w) = 1, {}^t w = w, w > 0 \right\}$$

Then for  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $i\omega \in \mathcal{S}_2$ .

$$f(x, \omega) = f(ix^{1/2}\omega) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}, \omega \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\tilde{F}(s, \omega) = \int_0^\infty f(x, \omega) x^s \frac{dx}{x}.$$

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 = SL_2(\mathbb{R}) / SO(2)(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

$$g \mapsto g^{t_g}$$

Fact:  $\tilde{F}(s, \omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{H}_1})$

We can define a pairing

$$\langle \tilde{f}(s, -), \varphi \rangle = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{H}_1}} \tilde{F}(s, z) \varphi(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

$$\langle \tilde{f}(s, -), \varphi \rangle = \Phi(f, \varphi; s)$$

Theorem (clmci):  $\Phi(f, \varphi; s) = 2(\pi)^{-s} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s-a_\varphi) \cdot \Gamma(s-b_\varphi) \sum_{t>0} \frac{A(t)}{E(t)} \det(t^{-s}) \overline{\varphi(z_t)}$

$$E(t) = \#\{g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}): {}^t g t g = t\}$$

Theorem (elman):  $f(z) = \sum_{t>0} A(t) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(tz)}$ . Assume

- $A(t) = A(t\alpha t^{-1}) \quad \forall \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$
- $|A(t)| = O(\det(t)^{\alpha}) \quad \alpha > 0$

The following are equivalent

$$(1) \quad f(-z^{-1}) = \det(z)^k f(z)$$

$$(2) \quad \Xi(f, \varphi, k-s) = (-1)^k \Xi(f, \varphi, s)$$

for all eigenforms  $\varphi$ .

If either is true, she proves they have analytic continuations.

Let  $\pi$  be a rep. of  $Sp_4(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ .

$$L(s, \pi)$$

$$L(s, \pi \otimes \sigma)$$

Theorem:

$$I(s) = \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}) G^+(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G^+(\mathbb{A})} E(s, g) \varphi(g) \Theta_{\varphi}(v^{-1})(g) dg$$

$$Z(\mathbb{A}) G^+(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G^+(\mathbb{A})$$

$$= \prod_v \int_{N(F_v) \backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s, g_v) \varphi_{+}^{T, v}(g_v) w_v(g, z_v) dg_v$$

$$= L^s(s, \pi \otimes \chi_f) \prod_{v \in S} \int_{N(F_v) \backslash G_1(F_v)} f_v(s, g_v) \varphi_{+}^{T, v}(g_v) w_v(g, z_v) dg_v$$

$\varphi \in V_\pi$ 

$$\nu : G_{SO(2)}(F) \backslash G_{SO(2)}(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$E(s, g) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash G(F)} f(s, \gamma g) \quad f(sg) \notin I_{nd}$$