

Special Values modulo p:

Non-vanishing of twists:

$\zeta(s)$ = Riemann zeta function

$\zeta(k) \in \mathbb{Q}$ if k neg. odd.

$\zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{12}$, $\zeta(-3) = \frac{1}{60}$

$\zeta(1-2k) = -B_k/k$ where $\frac{t}{e^t-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{t^k}{k!}$

p odd prime

Kummer: $h(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p))$ is divisible by $p \iff p \mid \text{num}(B_k)$,
 $k = 2, 4, 6, \dots, p-3$.

$N > 2$ integer, $L(s, \chi)$ is a Dirichlet L-function, χ prim. mod N .

$L(1-n, \chi) = -\frac{B_{n, \chi}}{n}$ where $\frac{\sum_{a=1}^N \chi(a) t e^{at}}{e^{Nt} - 1} = \sum B_{n, \chi} \frac{t^n}{n!}$

$h(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\pi} L(1, \chi) \sim B_{0, \chi}$

$\chi \in S = \text{some family}$

How often is $B_{n, \chi}$ divisible by some fixed prime of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ (res. char l)
as χ varies?

Example: $S = \text{quad. char. of cond}(p)$.

p-adic families:

$S = \text{Dirichlet char. of cond. } p^n \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

Clucasawa: if e_n is the exponent of p in class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$,

then $e_n = \lambda n + \mu p^n + \nu$ for all $n \gg$, $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Clucasawa conj: $\mu = 0$.

Exponent of $l \neq p$ in $h(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}))$?

The evidence was that $\text{ord}_l(h(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}))) < C_{l,p}$.

Proved by Ferrero-Washington (1984).

Starting point: Explicit formulas for class numbers in terms of Bernoulli numbers.

Formula (Clucasawa):

$B_n \sim$ related to digits (p -adic) of $p-1$ roots of unity.

Point: prove that digits of $p-1$ roots of unity behave like indep. random variables. ← first hint of probability ...

Recall: $a_0 + a_1 p + \dots = \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is called normal if every string of length k of digits appears with frequency p^{-k} .

Easy to show set of non-normal elements has measure 0, but very hard

to determine if a specific one is normal or not.

Ferrers - Washington: Suppose $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ are linearly indep. over \mathbb{Q} ,

then for almost all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ the sequence of vectors $\in (0, 1)^r$

$$X_n(\beta) = (X_n(\beta\gamma_1), \dots, X_n(\beta\gamma_r)) \text{ is unif. distributed}$$

in $(0, 1)^r$,

$$X_n(x) = \frac{\text{unique integer in } [0, p^n) \equiv x \pmod{p^n}}{p^n}$$

Analogy: (Kronecker) Suppose $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in \mathbb{R}$ are linearly indep. over \mathbb{Q} ,

then $(t\gamma_1, t\gamma_2, \dots, t\gamma_r)$ has dense image (unif) in $[0, 1]^r$.

This was reproved by Sinnott. He used Euler's formula that relates B_k to derivatives of rational functions.

$\mathbb{F}\langle T^{-1} \rangle =$ Laurent series in T^{-1} . (over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$)

Sinnott: Suppose $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are linearly indep. over \mathbb{Q} , then

$T^{\gamma_1}, \dots, T^{\gamma_r}$ are algebraically indep. in $\mathbb{F}\langle T^{-1} \rangle$,

$$T^\alpha = \sum \binom{\alpha}{n} (T^{-1})^n.$$

This seems at first glance to be an entirely algebraic proof.

$\mathbb{F}\langle T^{-1} \rangle$ power series in 1 variable. formal completion of \mathbb{G}_m .

$\mathbb{F}\langle T^{\gamma_1}, T^{\gamma_2}, \dots, T^{\gamma_r} \rangle \subset \mathbb{F}\langle T^{-1} \rangle$

|||

poly ring in

r variables.

Geometrically: 1 parameter formal G_m dense inside
 r -dim. space.

As it is actually similar to before, it seems all examples, at least ones
 looked at today, are something small mapping into something large and
 the image turns out to be dense.

Example: Hecke L -functions (Hida)

Key ingredient: Chai

$k = \text{alg. closed field of char } p > 0$, $X = \text{smooth finite dim. formal}$
 p -div. group over k .

$E_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \text{End}(X)$, $E = E_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{finite dim. v.s. over } \mathbb{Q}_p$.

$E = \text{lin. alg. grp. over } \mathbb{Q}_p$ s.t. $E(R) = (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R)^{\times}$, R any
 \mathbb{Q}_p -alg.

G any alg. group over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\rho: G \rightarrow E$ is a homom., can
 regard ρ as a rep. of G on E via $E \subset \text{Aut}(E)$.

Chai: Suppose that the trivial rep. is not a subquotient of
 ρ (of G on E). Suppose Z is a reduced irred. closed
 formal subscheme of X closed under action of an open subgroup
 of $G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then Z is closed under group law of X and is
 a p -div. subgroup.

Anticyclotomic twists of GL_2 L -functions:

$F = \text{totally real field}$, $K/F = \text{imag. quad ext.}$

π Hilbert mod. form of wt $(2, \dots, 2)$ for F .

Vatsal
6-17-6
pg 5

Look at $L(\pi, \chi, s)$, χ anticycl. character of A_K^\times

Means: χ factors through $A_F^\times \subset A_K^\times$.

central char. of π is unram. and $\chi \omega = 1$ on A_F^\times .

Look at $L(\pi, \chi, \frac{1}{2})$ for χ running over anticycl. chars

of cond. p^n as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $p =$ prime of K .

Expect $L(\pi, \chi, \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$ or to vanish to order 1 (typically).

Proved under mild assumptions (Cornut - V.). Don't want to spend time on the statement, rather on the ingredients that go into the proof and the analogies with stuff already discussed.

Key ingredient: Thm of M. Ratner

$G = SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\Gamma_i \subset G$ discrete, cocompact subgroups. say that

Γ_1 and Γ_2 are commensurable if $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ has finite index in both.

Thm (Ratner): Suppose that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are NOT commensurable,

then $\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2 = \{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 : \gamma_i \in \Gamma_i\}$ is dense in G .

↑
not a group!

Kronecker: $G = \mathbb{R}$, Γ_1, Γ_2 discrete subgroups $\mathbb{Z}\gamma_1, \mathbb{Z}\gamma_2$, $\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2$

is dense iff γ_1, γ_2 are indep over \mathbb{Q} , $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is trivial

(not commensurable).

Note that the converse of Ratner's theorem is clear.

Vatsal
6-17-6
pg 6

More generally, suppose $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_r$ are pairwise not comm., then

the image of the diagonal inside

$$X = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \times \dots \times \Gamma_r \backslash G \times \dots \times G$$

is dense in X .

More generally still

$G =$ any p -adic Lie grp

$\Gamma =$ discrete subgroup s.t. $\Gamma \backslash G$ has finite measure. wrt the unique G -invariant Borel measure.

$H \subset G$ any subgroup generated by image of maps

$$U_i(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G$$

then the closure \bar{H} of H in $\Gamma \backslash G$ is homog $\exists H' \supset H$

s.t. $\text{in}(H') = \bar{H}$.