

## The Rank One Abelian Stark Conjecture:

Stark's conjectures relate values of derivatives of partial zeta functions at  $s=0$  to

logarithms of absolute values of units in algebraic number fields.

- Analogous to (and a refinement of) the Dirichlet class number formula.
- A version for units of the BSD conjecture for elliptic curves. (in fact, both can be simultaneously generalized and refined in the Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture)
- "Abelian" indicates we will consider extensions  $K/F$  that are Galois with abelian Galois groups.
- "Rank one" means we'll consider 1<sup>st</sup> derivatives of  $\zeta$ -functions. (conjectures very precise here)
- Rubin later made a similar precise conjecture in the higher rank case.

Example:  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 2}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(a, f) = 1$ .

$$\text{Define } \zeta_f(a, s) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv a \pmod{f}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \begin{array}{l} s \in \mathbb{C} \\ \text{Re}(s) > 1 \end{array}$$

This is essentially the a Hurwitz zeta function.

$$\zeta_{\text{Hur}}(x, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(x+n)^s} \quad \begin{array}{l} x, s \in \mathbb{C} \\ \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \end{array}$$

$$\zeta_f(a, s) = f^{-s} \zeta_{\text{Hur}}\left(\frac{a}{f}, s\right) \quad (0 < a < f)$$

$$\zeta_f(a, s) = \frac{1}{f} \frac{1}{s-1} + b(a, f) + ( ) (s-1) + \dots$$

?"

Stark's conjectures concern  $b(a, f)$ .

Using the functional equation we can move from  $s=2$  to  $s=0$ .

$$\text{Define } \zeta_f^+(a, s) = \zeta_f(a, s) + \zeta_f(-a, s)$$

For  $0 < a < f$ , we have

$$\zeta_f^+(a, 0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{f}$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta_f^+(a, 0) = 0$$

As

$$\zeta_f^+(a, s) = c(a, f) s + \dots$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \zeta_H(x, s) \Big|_{s=0} = \log \Gamma(x) - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi)$$

$$\Rightarrow c(a, f) = \frac{\log\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{f}\right) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{a}{f}\right)\right)}{2\pi}$$

$$= -\log\left(2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi a}{f}\right)\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \log\left(2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi a}{f}\right)\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \log(u(a, f)).$$

$$u(a, f) = (1 - \zeta_f^a)(1 - \zeta_f^{-a}) \quad \text{where } \zeta_f = e^{2\pi i a/f}$$

Thus, we have  $u(a, f) \in K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f + \zeta_f^{-1})$ .

Exercise:  $u(a, f) \in \mathcal{O}_K\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]^*$

in fact,  $u(a, f) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$  if  $f$  is divisible by at least 2 distinct primes.

Summary:  $\zeta_f^+(a, 0) = 0$

and

$$(\zeta_f^+)'(a, 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \log u(a, f)$$

where

$$u(a, f) \in \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_K^* & f \text{ div. by at least 2 primes} \\ \mathcal{O}_K\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]^* & f \text{ prime power} \end{cases}$$

where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)^+$ .

The Conjecture:

$K/F$  an abelian extension of number fields.



$S =$  finite set of places of  $F$   
 $\supseteq \{\infty\} \cup \{\text{places finite places that ramify in } K\}$ .

Assume  $|S| \geq 3$ . ( $|S|=2$  is ok, see notes)

$$\zeta_{K/F, S}(\sigma, s) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F \\ (\mathfrak{N}, S) = 1, \sigma_{\mathfrak{N}} = \sigma}} (N_{\mathfrak{N}})^{-s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1).$$

$\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/F)$  ~~is~~ elt of Galois assoc. to  $\mathfrak{N}$  via CRT.

(When  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma_a : \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^a$   
 $S = \{\infty, p\}$ ,  $\zeta_{K/F}(\sigma, s) = \zeta_p^+(a, s)$ )

Let  $U_v = U_v(K) = \{u \in K^\times : |u|_w = 1 \ \forall w|v\}$ .

where  $v$  is a place of  $F$ .

"strong  $v$ -units" since we allow  $w$  to be arch.

Fix  $v \in S$ . Assume  $v$  splits completely in  $K$ . Let  $w$  be a place of  $K$  above  $v$ .

$v$  splits in  $K \Rightarrow \zeta_{K/F, S}(\sigma, 0) = 0$

Conjecture:  $\exists u \in U_v(K)$  s.t.

$$\zeta_{K/F}(\sigma, 0) = -\frac{1}{e} \log |u^\sigma|_w \quad \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/F)$$

$e = \# \mu(K)$ . where  $u$  depends on  $w$ . Furthermore,  $K(u^{1/e})/F$  is abelian.

Note:  $|u|_w$  is specified  $\forall w'$  of  $K$ .

$T = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{O}_F$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$

$$\text{Char}(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}) = \ell \geq [F:\mathbb{Q}] + 2.$$

$$\sum_{K/F, S, T} (\sigma, s) = \sum_{K/F, S} (\sigma, s) - N \ell^{-s} \sum_{K/F, S} (\sigma \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}, s)$$

Equivalent Conj.:  $\exists u_T \in \mathcal{U}_{v, T} = \{u \in \mathcal{U}_v : u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_k}\}$   
s.t.

$$\sum'_{K/F, S, T} (\sigma, 0) = -\log |u_T^\sigma|_w$$

$$u, u_T \text{ related: } \lambda = u^{1/\ell}, u_T = \prod_{\sigma \in T} \sigma^{-1}(\lambda)^{N\ell}$$

$u_T$  unique.

If  $S$  contains  $v, v'$  that split completely in  $K$ , then

$$\sum_{K/F} (\sigma, 0) = 0. \Rightarrow u=1 \text{ works in conjecture. So}$$

we only consider

- Case  $TR_\infty$ :  $F =$  totally real,  $v =$  real, places of  $K$  above  $v$  are real, all other arch. places are complex.
- case  $ATR$ :  $F =$  "almost totally real", i.e.,  $F$  has exactly 1 complex place  $v$ .  $K =$  totally complex.
- case  $TR_p$ :  $F$  totally real,  $v =$  finite place,  $K =$  totally complex.

Case  $TR_\infty$ :

$$u^\sigma = e^{-2 \sum'_{K/F, S} (\sigma, 0)} \quad \text{by inverting the formula}$$

This can be viewed as progress towards Hilbert's

12<sup>th</sup> problem

Case ATR:

$$|u_F^\sigma|_w = e^{-\delta'_{K/F, S, T}(\sigma, 0)}$$

But we don't see what  $u_F^\sigma$  is in  $\mathbb{C}$ , only its absolute value. What is  $\arg(u_F^\sigma)$ ?

Motivating Question: Can we give an exact formula for  $u_F^\sigma \in K_w$  and not just its absolute value?

ATR: Ren-~~van~~ Azeez, Charollis-Darmon yes!

~~TRP~~

TRP: Darmon - Dasgupta  
Chaplelsine  
Dasgupta  
Charollis - Dasgupta } Yes!

Idea:  $\zeta$ -functions are not the whole story on the analytic side.  $\zeta$ -functions are merely shadows of "bigger" or "more refined" objects (cohomology classes / Shimura zeta-functions)  
Main obstruction: units in ground field  $F$ .

Case TRP:  $v = \wp \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ , prime ideal.  
Let  $R = S - \{\wp\}$ ,  $w = \beta \mid \wp$ .

$$\zeta_{K/F, S, T}(\sigma, s) = (1 - Nq^{-s}) \zeta_{K/F, R, T}(\sigma, s)$$

$$\zeta'_{K/F, S, T}(\sigma, 0) = (\log Nq) \zeta_{K/F, R, T}(\sigma, 0).$$

$$-\log |U_T^\sigma|_B = (\log Nq) \underbrace{\text{ord}_B(U_T^\sigma)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}}$$

Stark's conjecture in this case says:

$$\exists U_T \in U_{S, T} \text{ s.t. } \text{ord}_B(U_T^\sigma) = \zeta_{K/F, R, T}(\sigma, 0).$$

Note: RHS is in  $\mathbb{Z}$  by work of Deligne-Pillet /  
Pi Cassou-Nogues / Bankey.